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**Summary of Reviews:** This study reports a greater seroprevalence for antibodies among healthcare workers compared to the general population. Reviewers mentioned concerns over selection of the general population, response bias, and adjusting for potential cross-reactivity with other coronaviruses.

**Reviewer 1 (Tim Bruckner)** | ◻ ◻ ◻ ◻

**Reviewer 2 (Tatjana Vilibić-Čavlek, Vladimir Savic)** | ◻ ◻ ◻

**Reviewer 3 (Petros Galanis)** | ◻ ◻ ◻

**Reviewer 4 (Ian Cockburn)** | ◻ ◻ ◻

**Reviewer 5 (Thomas Roederer)** | ◻ ◻ ◻

**RR:C19 Strength of Evidence Scale Key**

◻ ◻ ◻ ◻ = Misleading
◻ ◻ ◻ = Not Informative
◻ ◻ ◻ = Potentially Informative
◻ ◻ ◻ = Reliable
◻ ◻ ◻ = Strong
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